

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/656,614 | 09/05/2003 | Anthony Robert Wicks | M0274.70033US00 | 7995 |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 7590 07/20/2007 NFIELD & SACKS, P.C | EXAMINER | | |
| 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE BOSTON, MA 02210-2206 | | | PATEL, MANGLESH M | |
| BOSTON, MA | . 02210-2200 | - | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2178 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| · | | • | 07/20/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 10/656,614 | WICKS, ANTHONY ROBERT | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Manglesh M. Patel | 2178 | | |

| | Manglesh M. Patel | 2178 | |
|---|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 10 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | • |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply makes | fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date | - | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | 06.07(f). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complishing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | |
| AMENDMENTS | • | · | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | | | ecause |
| (a) \(\sum_{they raise new issues that would require further company to the company that they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). | • | IE below); | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | • • | ducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | jected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s |): | · | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | ill be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | - | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | · • | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessarily | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attac | hed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

The proposed amendment reflects a change in scope to the independent claims and raises new issues for the examiner to consider. Furthermore the interactive method of managing data described in amended claim 2 and the selective allocation of attributes described in amneded claim 1 must be reconsidered since applicant argues that "The skilled person would have no reason to provide any interactivity in Davis" described in pg 11, paragraph 4. Therefore said amendment will not be entered because said amendment would require further search and consideration by the Examiner.

CESAR PAULA
PRIMARY EXAMINER